

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/688,689	THIEL, GEORGE H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Sellers	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Robert Sellers.

(2) William N. Hogg.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection over *Sawaoka et al. Patent No. 5,589,523*

Claims discussed:

1 and 4

Prior art documents discussed:

Sawaoka et al. Patent No. 5,589,523

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The modifications to the claims implemented in the attached examiner's amendment places the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)